

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/516,806	06/13/2005	Roger McMorrow	3998264-151581 9879		
7590 10/24/2006			EXAMINER		
Porter Wright Morris & Arthur			KASZTEJNA, MATTHEW JOHN		
Intellectual Property Department 41 South High Street			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
28th Floor Columbus, OH 43215-6194			3739		
			DATE MAILED: 10/24/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/516,806	MCMORROW, ROGER	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Matthew J. Kasztejna	3739	

Advisory Action	10/516,806	MCMORROW, ROGER				
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Matthew J. Kasztejna	3739				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address				
THE REPLY FILED 29 September 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. 1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods: a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the final rejection. b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37						
CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened stabove, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three month earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL 2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comof filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any experience of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)).	es after the mailing date of the final rejection pliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)	on, even if timely filed, may reduce any e filed within two months of the date), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.	e			
AMENDMENTS	Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).					
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further co (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo (c) They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)) 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s	onsideration and/or search (see NO ow); tter form for appeal by materially re corresponding number of finally re 121. See attached Notice of Non-Co	TE below); educing or simplifying the issues for ejected claims. ompliant Amendment (PTOL-324).				
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 						
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proposed. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: 4-6,9 and 23. Claim(s) rejected: 1-3,7,8 and 10-22. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE		ill be entered and an explanation of	f			
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, b because applicant failed to provide a showing of good ar and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).						
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessal The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation 	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe ry and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fails to provide a See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1).	а			
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 11. ☑ The request for reconsideration has been consideration has been consideration because: See Continuation Sheet. 12. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). 13. ☐ Other:	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)	LINDA C. M. DVORAK SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER GROUP 3700	R:			

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant states that claim 22 has been amended to include the limitations of prior claim 23, however claim 22 does not appear to have been amended and currently does not include the limitations of prior claim 23. Claim 22 remains identical to prior claim 22 submitted by the applicant April 10, 2006, thus the current rejection of claim 22 under Lee (U.S. 6,135,948) stands.